## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

,	) CASE NO.
Plaintiff(s),	) ) JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO )
VS.	) ) )
Defendant(s).	)  REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING  MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.  P. 26(f) AND L.R. 16.3(b)
	r. P. 26(f) and L.R. 16.3(b), a meeting was held on
, 20 , ar	nd was attended by:
	counsel for plaintiff(s)
	counsel for plaintiff(s)
	counsel for defendant(s)
	counsel for defendant(s)
2. The parties:	<b>、</b> ,
have exchanged the	pre-discovery disclosures required by Rule26(a)(1) and
the Court's prior order;	
will exchange such	disclosures by, 20;
	ired to make initial disclosures.

a showing of good cause.  5. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms:  Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration.  Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.  6. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery   (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
7. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms:  Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration.  Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.  6. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery   (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
Resolution (ADR) mechanisms:  Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration.  Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.  5. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  : the consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: the consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration.  Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.  The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  Recommended Discovery Plan:  a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  d) Defendant's expert report due date:
Case is not suitable for ADR at this time but may be after discovery Case is not suitable for ADR at any time.  The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  Recommended Discovery Plan:  a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  d) Defendant's expert report due date:
discovery Case <b>is not</b> suitable for ADR at any time.  6. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  ::  (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
6. The parties do/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  :  (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).  7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
7. Recommended Discovery Plan:  (a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  :  (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
(a) Describe the subjects, nature and extent of discovery  : (b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: (c) Plaintiff's expert report due date: (d) Defendant's expert report due date:
b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date:  c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:  d) Defendant's expert report due date:
b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: c) Plaintiff's expert report due date: d) Defendant's expert report due date:
b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: c) Plaintiff's expert report due date: d) Defendant's expert report due date:
b) Non-Expert discovery cut-off date: c) Plaintiff's expert report due date: d) Defendant's expert report due date:
c) Plaintiff's expert report due date:
d) Defendant's expert report due date:
e) Expert discovery cut-off date:
Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties:
9. Recommended dispositive motion date:
10. Recommended date for a Settlement Conference:
11. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

Case: 1:23-cv-01086-CA	AB Doc #: 5-1	Filed: 08/08/23	3 of 3. Page	eID #: 33
	Attorney for PI	aintiff(s)		
	•	( /		
	Attamas, for DI	ointiff(o)		
	Attorney for Pr	aintiff(s)		
	Attorney for De	efendant(s)		
	Attorney for De	efendant(s)		
	Attorney for De	Sieridarit(3)		